EXHIBIT "D"

	Case 1:20-cv-04448-LJL Document 73-4 Filed 04	/01/22 Page 2 of 10
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	x	
3	ALEX HOLMES, et al.,	
4	Plaintiffs,	
5	v.	20 CV 4448 (LJL)
6	CHET STOJANOVICH,	
7		Conference (via Telephone)
8		New York, N.Y.
9		March 25, 2022 4:30 p.m.
10	Before:	•
11	HON. LEWIS J. LIMAN,	
12		District Judge
13		
14	APPEARANCES	
15 16	E. STEWART JONES HACKER MURPHY LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: JOHN F. HARWICK	
17	CHET STOJANOVICH, Defendant <i>Pro Se</i>	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2

(Case called)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Who do I have on for the plaintiff?

MR. HARWICK: Your Honor, this is John Harwick of E. Stewart Jones Hacker Murphy LLP for the plaintiff, Alex Holmes, et al.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Harwick.

Do I have Mr. Stojanovich on?

MR. STOJANOVICH: Indeed you do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Stojanovich.

MR. STOJANOVICH: Good afternoon to you.

THE COURT: This matter is on for the adjourned contempt hearing. At the conference in February I set a number of deadlines.

Let me hear from Mr. Harwick where we stand with respect to that, and then I'll address any issues.

MR. HARWICK: Thank you, your Honor. May it please the Court, this is John Harwick.

We did attempt to hold Mr. Stojanovich's continued deposition in person in New York City at the place designated at Diamond Court Reporting in midtown Manhattan on March 4, 2022, commencing at 11:35 a.m. Mr. Stojanovich did show up in person. He did not, however, bring with him the documentation that was called for by the subpoena or demanded at his prior depositions.

I did commence his deposition and was able to ask him

 ${\tt M3PMHOLC}$ 

some questions. We feel that he was not forthright or truthful with regard to a lot of the answers.

We took a break shortly after lunch that day. I am trying to find the time. We were to begin again -- we had taken a break from 12:30 to allow Mr. Stojanovich to go to his rental car to get a copy of the rental agreement and a copy of his wallet which contained credit cards and other financial information that we are seeking and also his phone.

Mr. Stojanovich left the deposition at approximately 12:30, with the understanding that we are to commence again at 1:00 again. He never returned to the deposition at 1:00. We waited until 1:31 p.m. to continue the deposition.

At that time I adjourned the deposition because it was my belief he was not coming back. He had indicated that his car was right downstairs, and he was going to run down and get these items for inspection. We waited for him for over an hour to do that. Even though I called him on his cell phone to try to contact him to find out where he was, he would not answer.

And, therefore, your Honor, we would like to submit all of these facts in a supplemental affidavit in support of the contempt motion. At this point we do think it would be appropriate to have the Court -- after consideration of the supplemental affidavit setting forth these facts, to have the Court consider issuing a warrant for Mr. Stojanovich's arrest by the United States Marshal to bring him into court and to

have the Court order that he provide myself and my clients with the data, the documents, and the information that we are seeking as part of the New York State CPLR Article 52 subpoena to aid in the enforcement of the collection of my client's judgment, which is in excess of a million dollars.

THE COURT: Mr. Harwick, let me ask you just a couple more questions. Before I issue a warrant for Mr. Stojanovich's arrest, don't I need to formally enter a finding of contempt and would it be a finding of criminal contempt or civil contempt?

MR. HARWICK: We made a motion for both. I think it would be — I think the appropriate procedure would be a finding of civil contempt and if we gave Mr. Stojanovich one last chance to purge himself of that contempt, then an arrest warrant probably should not issue. But if he does not produce the documentation that we are seeking and does not show up at the deposition and truthfully and fully answer questions at a continued deposition, then I think it would be appropriate to find him in criminal contempt because he is disobeying a court order.

Not only has he disobeyed my subpoena, which has the effect of a court order, but he has disobeyed your Honor's directives to him on February 14, when we had the last conference or hearing on this motion, that he was to provide me with the documentation that I was seeking pursuant to the terms

of the subpoena and that he was to fully and accurately answer the questions posed to him at the deposition. He did neither. He showed up at the deposition with nothing and then he left.

I think that would be the appropriate procedure in this case. I think a finding of criminal contempt would be warranted in order to force this judgment debtor and defendant to comply with not only the Court's directive, but my subpoena.

THE COURT: Mr. Harwick, another follow-up question.

Wouldn't the appropriate procedure be for me now to have you put in the evidence that Mr. Stojanovich violated my orders of February 14 as well as the subpoenas? You described them as directives. But they were orders. There should be no mistaking that there was an order to produce documents by March 1.

Once you submit that evidence, isn't the right procedure then for me to hold a hearing where Mr. Stojanovich has an opportunity to respond to whatever evidence you put in, tell me you're wrong, for me to hold that actually in open court. Mr. Stojanovich can then respond. I can set a date for him beforehand.

If he fails to appear at that hearing, I can issue an arrest warrant either based upon his failure to appear or based upon a finding of contempt that I would make because it would be uncontested.

MR. HARWICK: Yes, your Honor. I agree that that's

is going to give a date in a moment. I am going to set a date

for you to submit responsive papers, if you want to submit

24

25

1 | responsive papers. That date is going to be April 8.

Mr. Harwick is going to file papers on April 1. He will serve and then you will have until April 8 to respond.

Let me hear from you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STOJANOVICH: Your Honor, there are a few things that I would like to clear the air on right off the bat. I was an hour early for the deposition. I didn't bring my cell phone or my wallet in because I thought it was an important session, and I didn't want any interruptions.

THE COURT: Mr. Stojanovich, let me interrupt you.

This will be a contempt proceeding, so I'm not asking for your response right now, except as to scheduling.

Mr. Stojanovich, do you have your phone on speaker?

MR. STOJANOVICH: No. I have 3G service for some reason, and I tried to get a landline, but I can't get a solid connection.

THE COURT: Mr. Stojanovich, let me tell you what I'm ordering. Mr. Harwick will submit his papers on April 1. You will submit any opposition papers on April 8.

Matt, what date can we do the week of April 11 for an in-court hearing?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: We can do April 11 at 10 a.m.

THE COURT: Mr. Harwick, does that work for you?

MR. HARWICK: Yes, your Honor, that works for me.

THE COURT: The contempt hearing is April 11, 10 a.m.,

the federal courthouse, 500 Pearl Street, courtroom 15C.

1.8

Mr. Stojanovich, let me warn you that if you do not appear, I will have the authority to enter a contempt without hearing from you if I'm satisfied by Mr. Harwick's papers. I will also warn you that if I hear from you, whatever you say, number 1, could end up purging you of contempt, but could result in you being held in civil or criminal contempt and could result in me issuing a warrant for your arrest.

I will see you all on April 11 at 10 a.m. in the federal courthouse.

Mr. Stojanovich, were you able to hear me?

MR. STOJANOVICH: Yes, perfectly, and I understand entirely what you are saying, and I respectfully thank you for explaining that in detail, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you need to speak to counsel, I noted this before, there is a clinic that is available for people who are pro se. It's the NYLAG clinic and the information about that clinic is on the court's website.

Have a good afternoon, everybody, and have a good weekend.

MR. HARWICK: Your Honor, before we adjourn, I would just request that Mr. Stojanovich provide me with a good address for mailing my papers and an e-mail address so I can serve him with the papers that I'll be electronically filing with the Court. I know there may have been a recent change of

M3PMHOLC address, based on some testimony that we had at the March 1 2 deposition, so I would request that the Court --THE COURT: Mr. Stojanovich, I'm ordering you now to 3 provide an e-mail address where you can be served, and if 4 5 you've got a physical address, also a physical address. 6 MR. STOJANOVICH: Admin@phoenixdatas.com we can use. 7 That's back up and running. THE COURT: Can you please spell that for the record. 8 9 Please spell the e-mail address for the record. 10 MR. STOJANOVICH: A-d-m-i-n @ p-h-o-e-n-i-x 11 d-a-t-a-s.com. That's c-o-m. 12 THE COURT: Is there a physical address in which you 13 can be served? 14 MR. STOJANOVICH: I don't have a physical address that 15 I can easily because I'm still in between spaces at this point. I can provide a forwarding address, if that would please the 16 17 Court, where I do receive mail. THE COURT: It is up to you, Mr. Stojanovich. Will 18 19 you accept service by e-mail? 20 MR. STOJANOVICH: Yes, of course, I will, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Harwick, does that take care of it? 22 MR. HARWICK: Yes. Thank you, Judge. THE COURT: Have a good weekend, everybody. Stay safe 23

24

25

and stay healthy.

(Adjourned)